

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

1 \*\* Note: Please read this manual carefully. It contains important information that will help you complete  
2 the "Application to Use Human Subjects in Research" form. Failure to follow instructions may result in a  
3 delay in the approval process.

4  
5 **THE PURPOSE OF THIS MANUAL**

6 This manual is intended as a guide for faculty, students, staff, and any other members of LSU Eunice  
7 who plan to carry out research, whether funded or unfunded, involving the participation of human  
8 subjects. It provides basic information about what materials are needed and the process to use in  
9 requesting approval to use human subjects in research.

10  
11 All research that is conducted by an individual in connection with his or her institutional responsibilities  
12 and/or which involves the use of any of the University's property or facilities must conform to a standard  
13 of ethics reflected in specific regulations of the United States Department of Health and Human Services  
14 (DHHS) in order to assure that the rights and welfare of human subjects are protected.

15  
16 **INTRODUCTION**

17 Research with human subjects which is conducted by any member of the LSU Eunice community or  
18 anyone using LSU Eunice facilities, must be reviewed and approved by an LSU Eunice Institutional  
19 Review Board (referred to hereafter as the IRB). The IRB's interest is in protecting the safety, welfare,  
20 privacy and rights of human research subjects. It is not the IRB's objective to pass judgment on other  
21 aspects of the research (e.g., scientific merit). With the above goal in mind, the application for review of  
22 research involving human subjects must contain specific information. This information allows the IRB to  
23 evaluate the:

- 24 1. risks to subject(s);  
25 2. specific nature of subjects' participation including:  
26 a) recruitment of subjects,  
27 b) voluntary nature of subject participation,  
28 c) informed consent,  
29 d) remuneration (if any) to subject,  
30 e) specific procedures to be followed.

31  
32 In order to submit research for review, investigators must complete the APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL  
33 TO USE HUMAN RESEARCH SUBJECTS IN RESEARCH, which may be obtained from the office of the  
34 Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs.

35  
36 The most important concerns of the IRB are to assure subjects' safety, preserve subjects' anonymity and  
37 confidentiality, and assure that participation is voluntary. Thus, the application should contain information  
38 related to these areas. For example, a question of coercion may arise when an instructor solicits  
39 students from his/her own classroom for participation in a research project in which the instructor is  
40 involved. Another concern is the desire for subjects to be fully informed of the procedures to be

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

41 employed in the study and of possible adverse effects. Also, the procedures should not coerce subjects  
42 to continue in a study if they desire to stop participation.

43  
44 In order to facilitate approval of the application for use of human subjects in research, it is necessary for  
45 all relevant information to be included in the application. It is of equal importance that the document  
46 present a clear and concise explanation of the proposed research project. Delays in approval by the IRB  
47 can be caused by: (a) insufficient information; (b) relevant information being omitted from the application  
48 (or placed in appendices rather than in the text of the application); (c) presenting information in a manner  
49 that is too technical and cannot be understood by IRB members whose backgrounds and areas of  
50 expertise vary greatly and (d) the consent document contains grammatical and/or spelling errors or its  
51 language is inappropriate to the subject population being targeted.

52  
53 **IRB COMPOSITION**

- 54 1. The Institutional Review Board will have the following composition: a representative from each of the  
55 academic divisions, a representative from the professional staff, and a representative from the LSU  
56 Eunice Board of Advisors.  
57 2. The academic representatives will be elected by their respective academic units at their first meeting  
58 of the academic year. The representative from the professional staff will be selected in a manner to  
59 be determined by the Staff Senate. The representative from the LSU Eunice Board of Advisors will  
60 be selected by the Academic Council.  
61 3. The members will serve for an academic year.  
62 4. The Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs will call the first meeting, at which time a chair will be  
63 elected by the members of the IRB.  
64 5. Following the initial organizational meeting, the IRB will meet once a month to review applications.  
65 However, if no applications have been submitted by the customary meeting date, the IRB will not  
66 meet until the following month.

67  
68 **DEFINITIONS**

- 69 1. Human Subject - "Human Subject" is a living person about whom an investigator (whether  
70 professional or student) conducting research obtains: (a) data through intervention or interaction  
71 with the person, or (b) identifiable private information.  
72 2. Intervention - "Intervention" includes both physical procedures by which data are gathered (e.g.  
73 venipuncture) and manipulations of the subject or the subject's environment that are performed  
74 for research purposes.  
75 3. Interaction - includes communication or interpersonal contact between investigator and subject.  
76 4. Minimal Risk - "Minimal Risk" means that the probability and magnitude of harm or discomfort  
77 anticipated in the proposed research are not greater in and of themselves than those ordinarily  
78 encountered in daily life or during the performance of routine physical or psychological  
79 examinations or tests.

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

- 80 5. Private Information - "Private Information" includes information about behavior that occurs in a  
81 context in which an individual can reasonably expect that no observation or recording is taking  
82 place and information which has been provided for specific purposes by an individual and which  
83 the individual can reasonably expect will not be made public (e.g. a medical record). Private  
84 information must be individually identifiable (i.e. the identity of the subject is or may readily be  
85 ascertained by the investigator or associated with the information) in order for the process of  
86 obtaining the information to constitute research involving human subjects.
- 87 6. Research - "Research" means systematic investigation, including research development, testing,  
88 and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to the generalizable knowledge. Activities  
89 which meet this definition constitute research for purposes of this assurance, whether or not they  
90 are supported or funded under a program which is considered research for other purposes. For  
91 example, some demonstration and service programs may include research activities.
- 92 7. Established and Accepted Methods - Some methods become established through the rigorous  
93 standardization procedures prescribed by law, as in the case of drugs, devices, or biologicals, by  
94 operation of law, or, as in the case of many educational tests, under the aegis of professional  
95 societies or non-profit agencies. Determination as to when a method passes from the  
96 experimental stage and becomes "established and accepted" is a matter of judgement.
- 97 8. Legally Authorized Representative - "Legally authorized representative" means an individual or  
98 judicial or other body authorized under applicable law to consent on behalf of a prospective  
99 subject to such subject's participation in the procedure(s) involved in the research.
- 100 9. IRB - "IRB" means an Institutional Review Board established in accord with the basic DHHS  
101 policy for the protection of human research subjects (45 CFR Part 46) and for the purposes  
102 expressed in that policy.
- 103 10. IRB approval - "IRB approval" means the determination of the IRB that the research has been  
104 reviewed and may be conducted within the constraints set forth by the IRB and by other  
105 applicable institutional, statutory, and regulatory requirements.

106  
107 **INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE "APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL TO USE HUMAN**  
108 **SUBJECTS IN RESEARCH"**

109 Please Note: The application should stand on its own, without reference to any attached grant proposals  
110 or articles published, in press, or under review. (Just cutting and pasting paragraphs from a grant  
111 proposal causes confusion during the review process.) In addition, information placed in appendices may  
112 be overlooked. The application should provide all information necessary for IRB members unfamiliar with  
113 the experimenter's field of research to be able to evaluate the risks to subjects, how subjects will be  
114 recruited, the potential benefits, and how informed consent shall be obtained.

115  
116 Page 1, Question 1: If you are seeking IRB approval as part of a grant application process, the title of the  
117 project should be the same on both the "Application for Approval" and within the grant proposal.  
118

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

119 Page 1, Questions 2 and 3: PI's & Co PI's may list contact information other than their regular campus  
120 address in this space if they prefer to be contacted elsewhere. Co-PI's who are neither employees nor  
121 students of LSU Eunice should list full information about their affiliation here.

122  
123 Page 1, Question 4: Check "Other" if you are not affiliated with LSU Eunice but are seeking to conduct  
124 research involving the LSU Eunice community or the use of LSU Eunice facilities.

125  
126 Page 1, Question 5: All student research must be approved by a faculty advisor before it is submitted to  
127 the IRB for review.

128  
129 Page 1, Question 6: Choosing the appropriate type of review: The initial determination about the type of  
130 review appropriate to the project will be made by the Principal Investigator. However, if in the opinion of  
131 the IRB, another type of review is more appropriate, the project will be reviewed under that review  
132 procedure and the PI notified. On occasion, the IRB may request additional documentation in order to  
133 determine if the use of a particular type of review is justified.

134  
135 **EXEMPT REVIEW PROCEDURES**

136 Federal regulations "exempt" some types of research from regular review procedures by the IRB, though  
137 use of this term can be confusing. "Exempt" research is in fact reviewed, though ordinarily not as much  
138 documentation is required (in these cases, only the first three pages of the APPLICATION FOR  
139 APPROVAL TO USE HUMAN SUBJECTS IN RESEARCH need be submitted). If found exempt, the  
140 project need not follow continuing review procedures unless significant changes are made to the  
141 research protocol. Federal regulations permit the Principal Investigator to make the initial determination  
142 as to whether the project is exempt. The categories of research detailed below are exempt from review.

143  
144 Categories of Research eligible for Exempt Review Procedures:

- 145  
146 1. Research conducted in established or commonly accepted educational settings, involving normal  
147 educational practices, such as: (i) research on regular and special education instructional  
148 strategies, or (ii) research on the effectiveness of or the comparison among instructional  
149 techniques, curricula, or classroom management methods.
- 150  
151 2. Research involving the use of educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement),  
152 survey procedures, interview procedures or observation of public behavior if: i) information taken  
153 from these sources is recorded in such a manner that subjects cannot be identified directly or  
154 through identifiers linked to the subjects, and ii) any disclosure of subjects' responses outside the  
155 research could not reasonably place the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or be damaging  
156 to the subjects' financial standing, employability, or reputation.
- 157

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

- 158 3. Research involving the use of educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement),  
159 survey procedures, interview procedures or observation (including observation by participants) of  
160 public behavior, that is not exempt under (2) of this section if: (i) the subjects are elected or  
161 appointed public officials or candidates for public office; or (ii) the confidentiality of the personally  
162 identifiable information will be maintained throughout the research and thereafter.  
163
- 164 4. Research involving the collection or study of existing data, documents, records, pathological  
165 specimens, or diagnostic specimens, if these sources are publicly available or if the information is  
166 recorded by the investigator in such a manner that subjects cannot be identified, directly or  
167 through identifiers linked to the subjects.  
168
- 169 5. Research and demonstration projects which are conducted by or subject to the approval of  
170 department or agency heads, and which are: (i) designed to examine current public benefit or  
171 service programs; (ii) procedures for obtaining benefits or services under those programs; (iii)  
172 possible changes in or alternatives to those programs; or (iv) possible changes in methods or  
173 levels of payment for benefits or services under those programs.  
174
- 175 6. Taste and food quality evaluation and consumer acceptance studies: (i) if wholesome foods  
176 without additives are consumed; or (ii) if a food is consumed that contains a food ingredient at the  
177 level for use found to be safe, or agricultural chemical or environmental contaminant at the level  
178 found to be safe, by the Food and Drug Administration or approved by the EPA or Environment  
179 Protection Agency or the Food Safety and Inspection Service of the USDA.  
180
- 181 Non-HHS-supported research that presents no more than minimal risk to a subject (i.e., not exceeding  
182 risk ordinarily encountered in daily life) may be approved by expedited review if it falls into one of the  
183 following categories:
- 184 1. Collection of hair and nail clippings in a non-disfiguring manner, deciduous teeth, and permanent  
185 teeth if patient care indicated a need for extraction.  
186
- 187 2. Collection of excreta and external secretions including sweat, uncannulated saliva, placenta  
188 removed at delivery, and amniotic fluid at the time of rupture of the membrane prior to or during  
189 labor.  
190
- 191 3. Recording of data from subjects eighteen years of age or older using noninvasive procedures  
192 routinely employed in clinical practice. This includes the use of physical sensors that are applied  
193 either to the surface of the body or at a distance and do not involve input of matter or significant  
194 amounts of energy into the subject or an invasion of the subject's privacy. It also includes such  
195 procedures as weighing, testing sensory acuity, electrocardiography, electroencephalography,  
196 thermography, detection of naturally occurring radioactivity, diagnostic echography, and

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

- 197 electroretinography. This does not include exposure to electromagnetic radiation outside the  
198 visible range (for example, x-rays, microwaves).  
199
- 200 4. Collection of blood samples by venipuncture, in amounts not exceeding 450 milliliters in an eight-  
201 week period and no more often than two times per week from subjects eighteen years of age or  
202 older and who are in good health and not pregnant.  
203
- 204 5. Collection of both supra- and subgingival dental plaque and calculus, provided the procedure is  
205 not more invasive than routine prophylactic scaling of the teeth and the process is accomplished  
206 in accordance with accepted prophylactic techniques.  
207
- 208 6. Voice recordings made for research purposes such as investigations of speech defects.  
209
- 210 7. Moderate exercise by healthy volunteers.  
211
- 212 8. The study of existing data, documents, records, pathological specimens, or diagnostic  
213 specimens.  
214
- 215 9. Research on individual or group behavior or characteristics of individuals, such as studies of  
216 perception, cognition, game theory, or test development, where the investigator does not  
217 manipulate subjects' behavior and the research will not involve stress to subjects.  
218
- 219 10. Research on drugs or devices for which an investigational new drug exemption or an  
220 investigational device exemption is not required.  
221

222 Research that involves more than minimal risk, or is not covered by the categories listed previously,  
223 requires full review. Reports of research approved under expedited or exempt procedures are made at  
224 each regular IRB meeting.  
225

**HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT WHICH TYPE OF REVIEW TO SELECT?**

227 A complete application is necessary for both full and expedited categories of research proposals.  
228 According to Federal Regulations, if the IRB member(s) assigned for expedited review have questions  
229 about the appropriateness of expedited review or concerns about the nature of human subjects  
230 participation, the proposal is reviewed by the full IRB at its next meeting.  
231

232 The IRB can provide advice and assistance to help investigators determine if projects are exempt.  
233

234 Page 1, Question 7: All research that involves fetuses, pregnant women, prisoners, or groups who may  
235 have diminished capacity to provide consent or who may be high risk, must be provided full review. Most  
236 research involving minors falls into this category as well.

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

**RESEARCH INVOLVING CHILDREN AS SUBJECTS**

Children are considered a vulnerable and therefore "protected" population in the context of serving as research subjects. In Louisiana, children are defined as those persons who are under 18 years old and have not obtained the legal age for consent to treatment or procedures involved in the research.

In addition to those materials normally required for review by the IRB on the Protection of Human Subjects, a parental or guardian consent form, including all traditional elements of informed consent, is required. A child assent form should be used for child subjects 12 years of age or older. Language should be understandable and include a brief description of the task(s) involved and a statement on the right to withdraw at any time without penalty. For subjects under 12 years of age, an assent procedure should be employed. Assent is defined as an affirmative agreement (as opposed to tacit consent) to participate in research.

If child subjects are being obtained from another institution(s), written permission from an official from the institution(s) authorized to do so, must accompany the protocol.

Federal policy dictates that the use of prisoners as human research subjects is strictly prohibited without the prior approval of the Human Subjects IRB. This restriction also applies to the compassionate use of investigational agents or devices on prisoners.

If prisoners are to be potential subjects of a project, the researcher must indicate this in the application. If a Principal Investigator plans to have prisoners as subjects in his or her research project, please contact the IRB Office before finalizing the protocol. Additional time may be necessary to process proposals involving prisoners as subjects since the IRB will need to refer the proposed project to an individual or individuals who will have been designated as prisoner advocate(s). These precautions are mandated by the Federal regulations governing research involving human subjects. Copies of these regulations are available from the IRB. Written permission will need to be obtained as well from the cooperating institution from which subjects will be recruited.

Page 2, Question 8: The investigator is justified in withholding information from or giving incomplete or erroneous information to research subjects only when it can be demonstrated that the research cannot be conducted in any other way and that subjects will not be placed at risk. Research involving deception must be provided full review. At the earliest possible moment consonant with the validity of the research, the subject should be informed of the actual purpose of the research and procedures must be developed to relieve any distress encountered. All research involving deception must have attached to it a full description of the debriefing procedure to be used to the application.

Page 2, Question 9: Subjects at Risk: "Subjects at risk" means any individual who may be exposed to the possibility of injury, e.g. physical, psychological, or social injury, as a consequence of participation as a

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

277 subject in any research or related activity which departs from the application of those established and  
278 accepted methods necessary to meet his needs or which increases the ordinary risks of daily life,  
279 including the recognized risks inherent in a chosen occupation or field of service. When reviewing  
280 protocols with more than minimal risk to subjects, the IRB may delay approval of a protocol and make  
281 recommendations to the investigator for alterations in the wording of informed consent documents or for  
282 changes in the protocol to further minimize potential risks to subjects. Research may not begin until IRB  
283 approval has been granted.

284  
285 Page 2, Question 10: The IRB grants approval for one year from the date of initial approval only,  
286 regardless of when research actually begins. If, for example, funding was sought but not received from  
287 one source, but later received from another source, the project must be reapproved if more than a year  
288 has elapsed.

289  
290 Page 2, Questions 11 and 12: Answering these questions aids the IRB to ensure all required  
291 documentation is in place.

292  
293 Page 2, Question 13: Certain research projects will involve hospitals, schools, organizations, etc., that  
294 are not affiliated with LSU Eunice. In such cases, the Principal Investigator is required to obtain a copy of  
295 the organization's agreement to participate and/or, if applicable, that institution's IRB approval before the  
296 recruitment of subjects may begin. For research that requires IRB approval by more than one institution,  
297 protocols must be identical.

298  
299 Deadlines  
300 The IRB's practice is to circulate applications requiring full or expedited review to IRB members prior to  
301 the meeting. Any questions, comments or concerns raised by members are discussed at the next  
302 meeting and transmitted in writing after the meeting to the Principal Investigator for a written response.  
303  
304 It is the Principal Investigator's responsibility to see that the application is complete (i.e., all questions are  
305 answered), that required materials are attached (e.g., a copy of the informed consent form to be used),  
306 and that the application is submitted prior to the next IRB meeting. **LSU Eunice requires submission of  
307 applications at least 10 days before the IRB meeting. Failure to adhere to these requirements may  
308 lead to a delay in review and/or approval.**

309  
310 Special Deadline Considerations  
311 Investigators should be aware that for non-competing continuation applications, the National Institutes of  
312 Health require IRB approval coincident with the grant/contract/funding application. This means that the  
313 Human Subjects review must take place prior to submission of the grant application.

314  
315 It is suggested that Investigators submit requests for Human Subjects review prior to or as soon as  
316 possible after submitting the proposal to the IRB Office to prevent a delay in the awarding of funds.

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356

**EXEMPT REVIEW (page 3)**

To request an exemption, simply select one of the six categories on page three of the "Application" and append a written justification for your request to it. This justification should include information on the subject population, the means of subject selection, how anonymity will be assured and what informed consent procedures, if any, will be followed. If your research involves surveys which have already been prepared, append them as well. Failure to provide the IRB with a sufficient justification of your request for an exemption will result in delays in approval. If for any reason the IRB finds it cannot grant your project an exemption, the project will undergo either expedited or full committee review as the Chair sees fit. In such cases, you may expect to be asked to provide additional information.

**EXPEDITED OR FULL IRB REVIEW (page 4)**

Page 4, Question 1: The description of your research should be in language that can be understood by non-experts in your field and should be in detail sufficient for the IRB to make a judgement about the adequacy of the human subjects protections proposed. Such protections are the only concern of the IRB; judgement about a particular project's validity or feasibility lay outside its jurisdiction.

Page 4, Question 2: Various sub-topics follow

**SELECTION AND RECRUITMENT OF SUBJECTS FOR PARTICIPATION IN RESEARCH**

When selecting subjects for research, it is important to consider carefully the category of subjects being chosen. Federal guidelines require a scientific justification if women and/or minorities are to be excluded from a subject population. In addition, vulnerable populations, e.g., prisoners, pregnant women, institutionalized individuals, or children, are to be studied only under certain conditions, and only if the study could not be undertaken without them.

When recruiting subjects for research, it is important to follow procedures that will ensure that subject participation is truly voluntary and that no procedures that could be construed as even minimally coercive have been employed. The preferred method of recruitment is to disseminate information about the research study to potential subjects and to instruct them to contact the investigator if they are interested in participating. In the interest of respecting individuals' rights to privacy and confidentiality, recruitment procedures should involve having interested subjects identify themselves to the investigator rather than the investigator obtaining names and addresses from a third party and soliciting participation directly from individuals. Some acceptable and non-intrusive means of recruiting subjects are listed below.

**ACCEPTABLE MEANS FOR THE SELECTION AND RECRUITMENT OF SUBJECTS FOR PARTICIPATION IN RESEARCH:**

1. Placing an advertisement in a periodical or Web site requesting that interested persons who meet relevant criteria contact the investigator.

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

- 357 2. Posting a sign or placing flyers in a public area or, with permission from the appropriate authority,  
358 in a private area (such as a university, store, library, health club, etc.) requesting that interested  
359 persons who meet relevant criteria contact the investigator.  
360  
361 3. Obtaining names from public records, such as telephone directories.  
362  
363 4. Obtaining names from organization membership or client records to which the investigator has  
364 legal access and for which s/he has obtained permission from the appropriate authority.  
365

366 Researchers should avoid using their own patients or students as subjects due to the nature of the  
367 existing relationship and the unavoidable potential coercion. When recruiting subjects from hospitals,  
368 private medical or psychotherapy practices, schools, religious groups or businesses, steps should be  
369 taken to ensure that potential subjects do not feel obligated to participate because they wish to please an  
370 authority figure who they believe wants or expects them to participate. Every effort should be made to  
371 use recruitment procedures that do not involve the referring doctor, teacher, therapist, cleric, etc.,  
372 knowing which individuals eventually participated in the study. To fulfill this objective, subjects should be  
373 recruited in these circumstances with minimum direct involvement of the referral source. Whenever  
374 possible, the investigator should request that the referral person simply inform clients of the study and  
375 instruct them to contact the investigator if they would like to participate. Names and addresses of  
376 potential subjects should never be directly requested from referral sources unless permission has been  
377 given by these individuals to release their names.  
378

379 In a hospital-based study, particularly when there may be medical or emotional contraindications to  
380 participation, it is necessary to obtain approval from patients' physicians prior to their participation. Thus,  
381 in addition to selecting patients who meet specific criteria to be eligible to participate, the referring  
382 physician or other health care professional should also select patients on the basis of who is deemed to  
383 be both competent to give informed consent and capable of carrying out the required tasks without  
384 jeopardizing his/her health and safety.  
385

386 In certain cases, a subject's right to privacy may be superseded by a desire to minimize coercion. In a  
387 corporate setting, for example, a researcher who personally has access to a personnel roster may be  
388 justified in approaching subjects directly if it means that the employer is thereby disassociated from the  
389 recruitment process and will not have access even to a list of potential subjects. A discussion of the  
390 merits of direct recruitment should be included in the Application for Approval to Use Human Subjects in  
391 Research. Steps for protecting the confidentiality of the data to be obtained and the anonymity of the  
392 subjects are of paramount concern when employees are being asked to participate at their place of  
393 business. In summary, names of potential subjects are not to be obtained from hospitals or private  
394 practices unless the referral agent has obtained permission from each individual to release the name.  
395 Whenever possible, potential subjects should be informed of research indirectly and instructed to contact  
396 the investigator if they are interested in participating. To reach specific populations independent of a third

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

397 party referral source, information can be disseminated in written form in periodicals, by posting a sign in  
398 a convenient place or arranging to have flyers distributed to eligible individuals. A description of a  
399 research study may also be presented verbally to groups or individuals, preferably by someone whose  
400 position or relationship to potential subjects will not create pressure, or perceived pressure, for  
401 individuals to comply.

402  
403

**ACCESS TO EDUCATIONAL AND/OR SCHOOL RECORDS.**

404 Investigators who require access to educational and/or demographic records must negotiate with those  
405 institutions for access to that information. The IRB will not approve any application unless access to that  
406 information already has been granted by the appropriate institution. If the outside institution requires IRB  
407 approval, the IRB may approve the application contingent upon the outside institution's approval.  
408 Agreement to provide information by outside institutions does not obligate the IRB to approve the project.  
409  
410 The IRB expects the same standards of confidentiality and anonymity to be exercised with information  
411 obtained from other institutions as is required for information obtained from LSU Eunice.

412  
413

**USE OF STUDENTS ENROLLED IN A COURSE.**

414 The IRB is required to ensure that a subject's participation in research is voluntary. Thus, practices such  
415 as: (i) recruiting subjects from a course in which the investigator is also instructor; and/or (ii) offering  
416 extra credit and/or inducements which affect the course grade unless comparable opportunities are  
417 offered to non-participants, are usually not approved by the IRB.

418  
419

419 Page 4, Question 3: Procedures to be followed. If for any reason your project involves experimental or  
420 non-standard means of collecting data where standard procedures exist, a justification for the use of the  
421 non-standard procedures should be included here.

422  
423

423 Page 4, Question 4: Types and levels of risk.

424  
425

425 In general, the higher the risk involved in the project, the more detailed the explanation, precautions, and  
426 informed consent must be. The nature and type of informed consent is determined by the level of risk.  
427 Accordingly, the following broad guidelines for degrees of risk may be of assistance in making a  
428 necessary determination.

429  
430

430 High Risk: Activities involving medical or behavioral science projects that may induce a potentially  
431 harmful altered physical or mental state or condition are forms of personal invasion and, as such, are  
432 considered to be in a "high risk" category. (Examples include biopsy procedures; the administration of  
433 drugs or radiation; the use of indwelling catheters or electrodes; the requirement of strenuous physical  
434 exercise; hypnotism; and subjection to deceit, public embarrassment and humiliation.) In these cases  
435 there must be especially careful documentation to show that the benefits outweigh the risks.

436

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

437 Intermediate Risk: Activities involving a wide range of medical, social, and behavioral projects in which  
438 there is no immediate physical risk to the subject are considered to be in an "intermediate risk" category.  
439 (Examples include personality inventories; interviews; questionnaires; the dissemination of any data or  
440 information concerning an identified individual; information gathering activities conducted in classrooms  
441 or elsewhere; individual or group therapy sessions; or the use of photographs, taped records, and stored  
442 data.) Since some of these types of procedures may involve varying degrees of dignity through the  
443 imposition of demeaning or dehumanizing conditions, prior written informed consent is also required.  
444 However, since this type of activity does not involve physical invasion but is an activity where voluntary  
445 consent on the part of the subject is desirable, a more simplified consent is acceptable.  
446

447 Low Risk: Certain activities are classified as "low risk" and may not require a written informed consent.  
448 (An example is the use of completely anonymous questionnaires.) If a written informed consent is  
449 deemed unnecessary or undesirable in a particular instance, there follows an additional responsibility to  
450 establish that:

- 451 1. the risk to the subject is minimal;
- 452 2. obtaining a consent would invalidate objectives of considerable immediate importance; and/or
- 453 3. any reasonable alternative means for attaining the objectives have been thoroughly explored and  
454 would be less advantageous to the subject.

455 Low risk involves situations in which there is no conceivable physical or mental discomfort, and the  
456 measurements made on subjects can be considered to be reasonably unobtrusive. In these situations  
457 written informed consent may be waived.  
458

459 Page 4, Question 5: Confidentiality and anonymity: If a Federal Certificate of Confidentiality has been  
460 obtained or is being sought, this should be noted here and a copy provided if it is available.  
461

462 Page 4, Question 6: Debriefing procedures/revelations of potentially troublesome situations. If individuals  
463 possessing any special skills or training are to be present during procedures, this should be noted here.  
464 Where possible, investigators should provide the IRB with a list of agencies, hospitals, professionals,  
465 etc., to whom they may refer subjects who reveal a need for such assistance.  
466

467 Page 5, Question 7: Informed consent

468  
469 The informed consent form is one of the most important portions of the APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL  
470 TO USE HUMAN SUBJECTS IN RESEARCH. The form must give a clear and concise explanation of the  
471 research to be conducted and the procedures to be employed. The form must be written in language  
472 appropriate for the targeted subject population (e.g., English and French versions should be written for a  
473 multi-cultural study).  
474

475 An informed consent document, ideally should be one page in length. The form should be written in  
476 language that is age- and culture-appropriate. The statement should be written clearly enough for the

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

477 potential participant to understand what involvement in the study entails, so that she or he may make a  
478 reasonable, intelligent, and informed decision. The language should be kept simple, and the sentences  
479 short. The language of the form should be understandable at the eighth-grade reading level for studies  
480 using adult populations. The typeface should be large enough so that even subjects with impaired vision  
481 can read it.

482  
483 It is possible that the research may produce psychological difficulties for a subject; therefore, it may be  
484 necessary to make arrangements for those difficulties to be dealt with by a professional. For example, in  
485 one study of people with chronic illness, the Investigator provided all subjects with a list of mutual-help  
486 organizations in the local area.

487  
488 After review of the informed consent document, subjects should have a clear understanding of the  
489 procedures which will be followed with regard to their participation. Each subject should be able to make  
490 an informed decision concerning participation, free of explicit or perceived coercion. Potential risks and  
491 procedures to minimize such risks must be stated in detail in clear, precise language. A statement should  
492 be included in which the subject declares himself/herself fully informed and agrees to participate on a  
493 purely voluntary basis. Finally, the subject should be given a copy of the consent form, and/or any  
494 information sheets that he/she is required to read.

495  
496 Copies of the completed informed consent forms should be retained by the Principal Investigator for a  
497 period of at least three years following termination of the project.

498  
499 A copy of the sample consent form(s) to be used must be included with each APPLICATION FOR  
500 APPROVAL and must be approved by the IRB. At the time of approval, the consent form will be stamped  
501 with an expiration date, after which time it may not be used.

502  
503 Elements of the informed consent form

504 The following elements must be included in the informed consent form:

- 505 1. A statement that the study involves research, an explanation of the purposes of the research and  
506 the expected duration of the subject's participation, a description of the procedures to be  
507 followed, and identification of any procedures which are experimental (e.g., in medical research,  
508 those procedures which deviate from standard, accepted practice). If the purpose of the research  
509 cannot be fully revealed to subjects, describe exactly what subjects will be told, the justification  
510 for any deception of subjects, and plans to debrief subjects after their participation in the  
511 research.
- 512 2. The name(s) and affiliation(s) of the Principal Investigator(s).
- 513 3. A description of any foreseeable risks or discomforts (both physical and mental) that could  
514 reasonably be anticipated.

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

- 515 4. A description of any benefits to the subject or to others which may reasonably be expected from  
516 the research. In most research, expected results are tenuous, at best. If no direct benefits due to  
517 participation are foreseen, it is appropriate to state this.
- 518 5. A statement that participation is voluntary, refusal to participate will involve no penalty or loss of  
519 benefits to which the subject is otherwise entitled, and the subject may discontinue participation  
520 at any time without penalty or loss of benefits to which the subject is otherwise entitled. If the  
521 participant has been promised financial compensation, but chooses to withdraw, state that a pro-  
522 rated portion of the fee will be paid up to the point of withdrawal.
- 523 6. A disclosure of appropriate alternative procedures or courses of treatment, if any, that might be  
524 advantageous to the subject.
- 525 7. A statement describing how anonymity and confidentiality will be maintained.
- 526 8. A statement describing the extent, if any, to which confidentiality of records identifying the subject  
527 will be maintained which should include how records will be kept confidential, (e.g., locked  
528 cabinet, erasing of tapes, etc.). If audio taping is to occur, indicate who will hear the tapes, where  
529 they will be stored, and how and when they will be disposed. If videotaping is to occur, indicate to  
530 whom the tapes are to be shown and where they will be stored.
- 531 9. The informed consent form must have a line for the subject's and researcher's signatures, and  
532 the date of consent. If the participation must be anonymous and the form is to be signed with an  
533 X, then the signature of a witness must also be obtained. The Investigator should retain a copy of  
534 the signed consent form and provide a copy to the subject.
- 535 10. An explanation of whom to contact for answers to pertinent questions about the research and  
536 research subject's rights, and whom to contact in the event of research-related injury to the  
537 subject. The informed consent form should include a phrase such as the following: "If you have  
538 any questions concerning your rights as a participant in this study, you can call the Office of the  
539 Vice Chancellor of Academic Affairs."

540  
541 A note on language style

542 The language used in the consent form must be appropriate to the subject's level of education and  
543 understanding. Exculpatory language through which the subject is made to waive his/her legal rights or  
544 releases or appears to release the institution from liability for negligence may not be included. When  
545 applicable, a consent form should be translated into the subjects' first language.

546  
547 The consent form, and any materials used to recruit subjects should be submitted to the IRB at the time  
548 application for human subjects approval is made. If these materials are written in a foreign language,  
549 both the forms to be used and their English translations are to be submitted.

550  
551 Informed consent with minors as subjects

552 Children under age 18 are considered legally incompetent to give informed consent. As human subjects,  
553 children are especially vulnerable. The following definitions are important for research with minors: (a)  
554 "Children" are persons who have not attained the legal age for consent to treatments or procedures

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

555 involved in the research, under the applicable law of the jurisdiction in which the research will be  
556 conducted. (b) "Assent" means a child's affirmative agreement to participate in research. Mere failure to  
557 object should not, absent affirmative agreement, be construed as assent. (c) "Permission" means the  
558 agreement of parent(s) or guardian to the participation of their child or ward in research. (d) "Parent"  
559 means a child's biological or adoptive parent. (e) "Guardian" means an individual who is authorized  
560 under applicable State or local law to consent on behalf of a child to general medical care.  
561

562 The IRB has decided that written assent should be obtained from children aged 12 and older; verbal  
563 assent should be obtained from children under 12 years of age. Assent from a child should be requested  
564 only after the child's parents or guardians have agreed that the child may participate. In most cases, the  
565 signature of one parent or guardian is sufficient. However, in studies involving greater than minimal risk,  
566 signatures from both parents or guardians may be required.  
567

568 Information provided during the procedure to obtain consent or assent from children should be presented  
569 in a form understandable by the children selected for the study. We encourage researchers to consider  
570 alternatives to the conventional consent form used with adults. Appropriate alternatives include: a  
571 checklist, pictures, role playing and audio-visual methods. The basic information about procedures,  
572 purpose, selection, risks, benefits and willingness of the researcher to answer questions should be  
573 provided to children serving as research subjects.  
574

575 Oral consent

576 In certain cases, the Principal Investigator may determine that oral consent is more appropriate and more  
577 adequately safeguards the subject. The oral consent form shall consist of a written consent document  
578 presented orally to the subjects (or his/her legally authorized representative). The IRB shall approve the  
579 written text of what is said to the subject or representatives. A copy of the information that is read to the  
580 subject should be given to the subject or the representative to keep. There should be a witness to the  
581 oral presentation who can attest that the information was given as stated.  
582

583 When it might be appropriate to omit the use of a consent form

584 As a general rule, the IRB believes informed consent should be obtained from all research subjects.  
585 However, if the Principal Investigator believes that obtaining a signed consent form would be  
586 inappropriate, such a request must be justified according to the following criteria:  
587

- 588 1. The only record linking the subject and the research would be the consent form and the principal  
589 risk would be potential harm resulting from a breach of confidentiality.
- 590
- 591 2. The research presents no more than minimal risk and involves no procedures for which written  
592 consent is normally required outside the research context. For example, in a sample survey of  
593 volunteers, investigators would describe the nature of the interview to the subjects. Rather than  
594 seek written approval, participation here is regarded as de facto consent.

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

- 595  
596 3. Tacit Consent. When participation entails only the completion of anonymous written  
597 questionnaires, consent may be considered to be tacit. Provided that responses can in no way be  
598 used to identify subjects, written consent is not necessary. (To ensure that participation is  
599 voluntary, the investigator should not be present when subjects are filling out the instruments and  
600 subjects must not be required to hand back their responses directly to the investigator.)  
601

602 When the use of a consent form is waived, the IRB requires the Principal Investigator to provide subjects  
603 with a written statement regarding the research.  
604

605 Signatures: Student researchers must obtain the signature of their faculty advisor before the IRB will  
606 consider their application.  
607

608 Reporting Unanticipated Problems and Changes in Protocol: Any unanticipated problems involving risks  
609 to subjects or others participating in a research study, or proposed changes to a previously approved  
610 application must be promptly reported in a written memorandum to the IRB. This includes changes in the  
611 (approved) consent form, sample composition, sample recruitment, or study procedures.  
612

613 Applicants Seeking External Funding: Federal regulations require that protocols be "tracked" to grant  
614 proposals, although IRB review and grant proposal preparation are independent activities.  
615

**FREQUENT OVERSIGHTS IN APPLICATION MATERIALS AND CONSENT FORMS**

- 617  
618 1. The language in the consent form must be understandable to the population being addressed  
619 (e.g., children). In the event that consent forms may be best understood in another language, that  
620 version must be submitted along with an English translation.  
621  
622 2. The name and status of the investigator, as well as the University, school and department  
623 identifiers should be incorporated into the consent form text. The address and telephone number  
624 where the researcher can be reached should questions arise also must be included; where  
625 appropriate, the name of and telephone number of a faculty advisor should be included as well.  
626  
627 3. When cooperating institutions are involved, a letter of cooperation from an authorized official  
628 should be included. If a letter is not available at the time of application, it must be submitted  
629 before research may begin.  
630  
631 4. Methods for maintaining confidentiality of the data should be described in detail (i.e., coding  
632 procedures, who has access to the files, where files are kept, and how anonymity is protected).  
633

**SUBJECT: Principal Investigators Manual for Research Involving Human Subjects**

---

- 634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658
5. When treatment or services are involved, an affirmation should be included indicating that an individual's decision not to participate will in no way affect the availability of services to which individuals are entitled.
  6. When students are involved, an affirmation should be included indicating that non-participation will in no way affect academic standing.
  7. When children are involved, both parental permission and children's consent or assent are required.
  8. When video or audio taping is involved, an opportunity to review the completed tape must be given so that subjects may ask that it not be used (either in whole or in part).
  9. Requests to have proposals classified as exempt must be accompanied by a supporting statement, detailing which category of exemption is being claimed, and why the researcher believes the activity falls into this category. In the case of minors (individuals under 18 who are participants in research), exemptions are limited to the following categories of research:
    - a) studies that constitute normal educational practices in educational settings;
    - b) educational tests, where identifiers are not recorded;
    - c) collection or study of existing data, documents, records, pathological or diagnostic specimens, if these sources are publicly available, or if the information is recorded so that subjects cannot be identified.
    - d) observation (as opposed to participation) by the principal investigator of public behavior where identifiers are not recorded by the principal investigator and there is neither a risk of harm to subjects nor observation of sensitive aspects of the subjects' own behavior.

**LSU EUNICE INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD  
APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL TO USE HUMAN SUBJECTS IN RESEARCH**

Please note: Step-by-step instructions and other information relevant to filling out this form are contained in LSU Eunice's Principal Investigator's Manual for Research involving Human Subjects. All investigators are expected to be familiar with the policies and procedures it contains. Failure to follow the instructions may result in a delay in the approval process.

1. Project Title: \_\_\_\_\_  
(see page 3 of Manual)

2. Principal Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_  
Department: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ Fax: \_\_\_\_\_ Email: \_\_\_\_\_  
(see page 3 of Manual)

3. Co-PI (if any) \_\_\_\_\_  
Department: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ Fax: \_\_\_\_\_ Email: \_\_\_\_\_

4. Status (check one): Faculty \_\_\_\_\_  
Undergraduate Research \_\_\_\_\_  
Other (please explain) \_\_\_\_\_

5. For students and non-LSU Eunice researchers only, please give your home address and phone number:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Faculty Advisor: \_\_\_\_\_  
Department: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ Fax: \_\_\_\_\_ Email: \_\_\_\_\_  
(see page 3 of Manual)

NOTE: The IRB will not review protocols submitted by students without the signature of a faculty advisor on page 5 of this application.

6. Type of review requested: \_\_\_\_\_ Exempt \_\_\_\_\_ Expedited \_\_\_\_\_ Full IRB  
(for an explanation of the three types of review, see pages 3-6 of the Investigator's Manual):

7. Does your study involve the collection of data from a vulnerable population? \_\_\_\_yes \_\_\_\_no  
If yes, please specify: \_\_\_\_\_

For a complete list of categories of vulnerable populations, as well as the special safeguards required when conducting research with them, see page 6 of the Investigator's Manual. Special Informed Consent procedures are necessary when conducting research with minors. See pages 12-13 of the Manual for information.

8. Does this study involve deception (research in which the subject is purposely lead to have false beliefs or assumptions)? yes no  
(see page 6 of the Investigator's Manual)
9. If the study involves risk to subjects, is the risk greater than that incurred in ordinary life or tasks?  
yes no  
(see page 7 of the Investigator's Manual)
10. Has this study ever been previously approved by the IRB? yes no  
(see page 7 of the Manual)
11. Check if this proposal is new or revised in response to previous IRB review.  
(see page 7 of the Manual)
12. Is funding being sought for this study? If yes, through what sponsoring agency?

---

(see page 7 of the Manual)

13. Is this study being reviewed by an Institutional Review Board at another institution? If yes, please list cooperating institutions below and attach an official letter of cooperation. (see page 7 of the Manual)

---

Documentation of IRB reviews of this study conducted at other institutions must be provided when it becomes available. Research may not begin until IRB review has been concluded at all institutions involved.

**For EXEMPT reviews, submit original and 1 copy of this APPLICATION.**

**For FULL IRB reviews, submit original and 6 copies one month before approval is needed.**

**The IRB may meet once per month from September through May.**

**Please submit all applications to the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs.**

**Special Deadline Information is contained on page 7 of the Investigator's Manual**

**EXEMPT REVIEW**

Requests for exemption from IRB review must include the information requested below. If exempt status is granted, the study will no longer be under the jurisdiction of the IRB, unless procedures are revised which deviate from those originally reviewed by the IRB. Research involving protected populations, e.g. prisoners, pregnant women or fetuses, is not eligible for exempt status.

Exemption may be claimed under the following categories: (for complete description of these categories, see p. 4 of the Investigator's Manual)

1. Research involves the study of normal educational practices in commonly accepted educational settings.
2. Research involves the use of educational tests, surveys, or interviews where identifiers are not recorded by the PI or where there is neither a risk of harm to subjects nor information sought concerning sensitive aspects of the subjects' own behavior. Research involves observation of public behavior where identifiers are not recorded by the PI and there is neither a risk of harm to subjects nor observation of sensitive aspects of the subjects' own behavior. This exemption does not apply to research with children when the investigator[s] participate in the activities being observed; for example, in classroom situations where the researcher is taking part in the classroom activities being studied or surveys and interviews with children.
3. Research involves the use of educational tests, surveys, interviews, or observation of public behavior that is not exempt under the above category if: (a) subjects are elected or appointed public officials or candidates for public office or (b) federal statute(s) require(s), without exception, that the confidentiality of the personally identifiable information will be maintained throughout the research and thereafter.
4. Research involves merely the collection or study of existing data, documents, records, pathological or diagnostic specimens, where publicly available or where the information is private by identifiers not recorded by the PI.
5. Research to examine current public benefit or service programs, or alternatives to existing programs.
6. Taste and food quality evaluation and consumer acceptance studies, if food or additives consumed meet FDA safety standards.

I believe my research can be classified as exempt under category number(s) \_\_\_\_\_ above.

PI Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Co-PI Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Faculty Advisor's Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
(required for student research)

Please append to this document a description of your research sufficiently detailed to justify exemption under one or more of the above categories. (For more about this description and what it should contain, see page 8 of the Investigator's Manual) Final judgment on exemptions rests with the IRB.

You will be notified if your study is found to be exempt from further IRB review.

### **FULL IRB REVIEW**

Please consult pages 8 of the Investigator's Manual for an explanation of expedited and full IRB review and the types of research which may be reviewed under each procedure. Request for expedited review may be referred to the full IRB if the Chair of the IRB deems it appropriate.

Please answer the following questions on a separate sheet and attach to application form:

1. State the purpose of the research. Include major hypotheses and research design. If the study is part of a larger study, briefly describe that larger study and indicate whether it has received IRB approval from another institution (see p. 8 of the Investigator's Manual). Please keep in mind that the IRB is composed of individuals from many disciplines and thus the description of your research should be written in terms readily comprehensible by non-experts.
2. Describe the source(s) of subjects and the selection criteria. Selection of subjects must be equitable and, in the case of protected populations such as children, prisoners, pregnant women, the mentally disabled, etc. should address their special needs. (See pages 8-9 of the Investigator's Manual for a discussion of equity in subject selection and page 6 of a discussion of protected populations). The text of any advertisement, letter, flier, oral script or brochure used to solicit potential subjects must be attached.
3. Provide a description of the procedures to be followed. If available, include copies of questionnaires and/or interview protocol, or a sufficiently detailed description of the measures to allow the IRB to understand the nature of subjects' involvement. (See p. 10 of the Investigator's Manual)
4. Describe any potential harms or benefits to be derived by subjects, with a discussion of the risk/benefit ratio. For approval of any study with more than minimal risk, the benefits must clearly be shown to outweigh the risk. Describe how the study may expose participants to stress, physical, psychological or interpersonal hazard, including the possibility of pain, injury, disease, discomfort, embarrassment, worry or anxiety. (See p. 10 of the Investigator's Manual)
5. Describe the specific methods by which confidentiality and anonymity will be protected, including the use of data coding systems, how and where data will be stored and who will have access to it, and what will happen to data after the study has been completed. (See p. 11 of the Investigator's Manual)
6. If applicable, provide the following: 1) a description of the debriefing procedures to be used in cases where deception has occurred; 2) a statement describing what actions you will take should the research reveal the possibility of a medical or other potentially troubling condition. (See p. 11 of the Investigator's Manual)

Upon approval of the study, the consent document will be stamped with an expiration date. Only this document may be used when enrolling subjects. Studies extending beyond the expiration date must be submitted for a continuation review. Any changes in the consent form must be approved by the IRB.

7. Before submitting this application, all investigators should familiarize themselves with the discussion of informed consent contained in pages 11-12 of the Investigator's Manual. Describe

the oral and written consent processes and attach all consent documents, including scripts for oral consent and assent form for research involving minors under the age of 12. When the consent form to be used will be in a language other than English, an English translation must be provided. Unless one or more of the required elements described below is explicitly waived by the IRB, informed consent documents should contain:

- a) A fair explanation of the procedures to be followed and their purposes, including any procedures that are experimental.
- b) A description of any possible attendant discomforts and risks reasonably expected.
- c) A description of any benefits reasonably expected.
- d) A disclosure of any appropriate alternative procedures.
- e) An offer to answer any inquiries concerning the goals of the research or the research procedures and to provide a summary of results upon request. A contact person and phone number should be provided.
- f) An instruction that the subject is free to withdraw or discontinue participation at any time without prejudice.
- g) A statement that the data are confidential and that the subject will not be identified by name in writing or orally.
- h) Provisions for parent or guardian approval for participation of minors or for subjects from vulnerable populations when appropriate.

8. Please provide any other information that might be pertinent to the IRB's decision.

All applicants: I agree to use procedures with respect to safeguarding human subjects in this activity that conform to University policy. If significant change in investigative procedure involving human subjects is called for during the activity covered by this application, I shall seek prior approval for such change from the IRB and agree to follow the advice of the IRB. The faculty sponsor's signature indicates that s/he has reviewed this application and accepts the responsibility of insuring that the procedures approved by the IRB are followed.

PI Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Co-PI Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Faculty Advisor Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
(required for student research)

For applicants seeking external funding only:

I certify that the research plan and safeguards to human subjects described in this application conform to that which has been submitted/will be submitted to an external funding source.

PI Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Co-PI Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Grants Officer Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Sponsor \_\_\_\_\_ Internal Reference # \_\_\_\_\_

**Before submitting this form, consult page 14 of the Investigator's Manual, "Frequent Oversight".**