Effective: December 3, 1996

Revised: December 3, 2012, May 30, 2013, September 18, 2015

Page 1 of 6

SUBJECT: Annual Evaluation of Administrators

Administrators are evaluated annually as a means of improving administrative effectiveness and leadership capability.

Annual evaluations for administrators with five or more professional employees (as determined by EEO-6 category 03 "Other Professionals") are completed by faculty and/or professional staff and/or division head secretaries who have been employed for at least four months at their current position under the administrator being evaluated. Faculty evaluating administrators must have been employed with an instructional load of at least 50% for that period.

1. Annual evaluations will be completed within three months of onset of the evaluation process and in accordance with the following calendar:

a. 4th week of the spring semester: The Chancellor's Office announces the availability of the online evaluation survey.

b. Faculty, professional staff, and divisional administrative assistants have two weeks to complete the online assessment.

c. Received by the Chancellor by mid-March: The Office of the Chancellor receives the data. Information will be forwarded to the Chancellor, the appropriate vice-chancellor, and the administrator being evaluated.

d. By the end of March a meeting will be scheduled: The Chancellor and/or vice chancellor meets with faculty/professional staff and/or divisional administrative assistants to discuss concerns, comments, and data reflected in the evaluation.

e. The entire process to be completed by mid-April: The Chancellor and/or vice chancellor meets with the administrator to discuss faculty/professional staff and/or divisional administrative assistants' evaluations as well as the vice chancellor's evaluation of the administrator.

Annual evaluations are conducted on the standard LSU Eunice Faculty/Professional Staff Evaluation of Administrator survey. This survey will reflect a summary of the numerical data in the following areas:

1. Personal Characteristics

2. Administrative Leadership and Planning

 3. Work Efficiency4. Human Relations

5. General6. Overall Rating

In addition, the survey provides an area for comments. The Office of the Chancellor receives all numerical data from the evaluation instrument and include these results on a summary sheet with the exact comments typed. The data will be placed in the administrator's personnel file. An additional evaluation of each administrator will be done by the appropriate vice chancellors.

Effective: December 3, 1996

Revised: December 3, 2012, May 30, 2013, September 18, 2015

Page 2 of 6

SUBJECT: Annual Evaluation of Administrators

47 48

49 50

51

52 53 The Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs will be evaluated by the Academic Council using the same criteria. The results of the numerical data and typed comments will be forwarded to the Chancellor and vice chancellor. The Chancellor will meet with the Academic Council to discuss comments and concerns. Following the meeting with the Academic Council, the Chancellor will conference with the academic vice chancellor. The data will be placed in the vice chancellor's personnel file.

Effective: December 3, 1996

Revised: December 3, 2012, May 30, 2013, September 18, 2015

Page 1 of 6

LSU Eunice

Faculty/Professional Staff/Division Head Secretary Evaluation of Administrator

Please note that the electronic and paper forms of this document are identical.

Academic Year
Instructions: You are asked to rate the administrator named below on the scale indicated. Your comments and individual ratings will remain anonymous. The average responses of all involved in the evaluation will be cumulated into a single report. Read the items carefully and objectively. Decide which of the possibilities best describes the administrator over the past year. Place the number corresponding to your choice on the scannable or electronic form. Please return the completed form to the Office of the Chancellor by
Name of person being rated/position
I. Personal Characteristics
Possesses general knowledge of LSU Eunice policies and procedures.

(5) Very Broad (4) Fairly Broad (3) Limited (2) Very Limited (1) Lacking Possesses specific knowledge necessary for the position. (5) Very Broad (4) Fairly Broad (3) Limited (2) Very Limited (1) Lacking Welcomes differences in viewpoint. (1) Never (4) Usually (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom (5) Always Inspires enthusiasm. (4) Usually (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom (5) Always (1) Never Is trustworthy and reliable in dealing with confidential material. (4) Usually (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom (1) Never (5) Always II. ADMINISTRATIVE LEADERSHIP AND PLANNING Promotes teamwork whenever possible. (5) Always (4) Usually (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom (1) Never

7. Encourages faculty/staff participation in management procedures when possible.

(1) Never

- (5) Always (4) Usually (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom (1) Never
- 8. Inspires personnel to independent creative work.(5) Always (4) Usually (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom

Effective: December 3, 1996

Revised: December 3, 2012, May 30, 2013, September 18, 2015

Page 2 of 6

Is alert to recognize or devise useful innovations. (5) Always

(4) Usually (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom (1) Never

10. Effectively establishes priorities.

(5) Always (4) Usually (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom (1) Never

11. Effectively resolves conflict.

(4) Usually (3) Sometimes (5) Always (2) Seldom (1) Never

12. Delegates duties effectively.

(4) Usually (5) Always (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom (1) Never

Evaluates faculty/staff fairly and apprises faculty/staff of strengths and weaknesses. 13.

(4) Usually (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom (5) Always (1) Never

Manages budget and fiscal matters effectively.

(4) Usually (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom (5) Always (1) Never

15. Makes effective effort for professional growth of personnel.

(5) Always (4) Usually (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom (1) Never

16. Recruits and employs as capable personnel as possible.

(5) Always (4) Usually (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom (1) Never

III. WORK EFFICIENCY

17. Works hard.

(4) Usually (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom (1) Never (5) Always

Conducts work as expeditiously as possible.

(4) Usually (3) Sometimes (5) Always (2) Seldom (1) Never

19. The essential work of the position is completed on time.

(4) Usually (3) Sometimes (5) Always (2) Seldom (1) Never

IV. HUMAN RELATIONS

20. Compliments and thanks personnel appropriately and sincerely.

(5) Always (4) Usually (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom (1) Never

21. Actively seeks to advise and assist personnel.

(3) Sometimes (5) Always (4) Usually (2) Seldom (1) Never

22. Possesses insight into the professional problems and workload details of his/her personnel.

(5) Always (4) Usually (3) Sometimes (2) Seldom (1) Never

23. Treats faculty/staff in a fair and equitable manner.

LSU Eunice PS No. 64 Effective: December 3, 1996 Revised: December 3, 2012, May 30, 2013, September 18, 2015 Page 3 of 6

(=) A.I	(4) 11 11	(0) 0 (1	(0) 0 11	(4) 11	
(5) Always	(4) Usually	(3) Sometimes	(2) Seldom	(1) Never	
24. Contri	butes positively	to the general mo	orale of the pers	sonnel.	
		(3) Sometimes	•		
, ,	, ,	()	· /	,	
25. Interacts with faculty/staff in a professional manner.					
(5) Always	(4) Usually	(3) Sometimes	(2) Seldom	(1) Never	
V. GENERAL					
		e image of the coll			
(5) Always	(4) Usually	(3) Sometimes	(2) Seldom	(1) Never	
27. Active	ly cupports the	LSU Eunice missi	00		
		(3) Sometimes		(1) Never	
(0) / ((Wayo	(1) Coddily	(o) comounico	(2) 00100111	(1) 140 001	
28. Exhibi	ts initiative and	l resourcefulness.			
		(3) Sometimes	(2) Seldom	(1) Never	
29. Keeps abreast of major issues and innovations related to the position.					
(5) Always	(4) Usually	(3) Sometimes	(2) Seldom	(1) Never	
00 0		Caraba			
	nunicates effec	•	(2) Soldom	(1) Nover	
(5) Always	(4) Usually	(3) Sometimes	(2) Seldom	(1) Never	
31. Listen	s effectively.				
		(3) Sometimes	(2) Seldom	(1) Never	
(5) /	(), 555,	(5) 505	(=) = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	(1) 110101	
32. Acknowledges and learns from mistakes.					
(5) Always	(4) Usually	(3) Sometimes	(2) Seldom	(1) Never	
33. My overall rating of this administrator is:					
(5) Outstanding (4) Good (3) Fair (2) Poor (1) Unacceptable					
(5) 5 3.15.13.1.13 (1) 5 3 3 3 (5) 1 3 11 (1) 5 11 3 5 5 5 11 (1) 5 11 3 5 5 5 11 3 11 3 11 3 11 3 11 3					

Effective: December 3, 1996

Revised: December 3, 2012, May 30, 2013, September 18, 2015

Page 4 of 6

Administrator Name	

COMMENTS FROM FACULTY, PROFESSIONAL STAFF, AND/OR DIVISION HEAD SECRETARIES WILL BE COMPILED AND PRESENTED ANONYMOUSLY.

PLEASE ADDRESS SPECIFIC COMMENTS BELOW:

- I. Administrative Leadership and Planning:
- II. Work Efficiency:
- III. Human Relations:
- IV. General:

Special comments that explain what influenced any extremely positive or negative responses which will assist administrator in the evaluation process:

Recommendations or suggestions to assist administrator in planning for the future.